arXiv:hep-th/0112046v2 6 Feb 2002

UPR-969-T
IC/2001/164
ANL-HEP-PR-01-114

N=2 6-dimensional Supersymmetric E_6 Breaking

Chao-Shang Huang[a], Jing Jiang[b], Tianjun Li[c] [1] and Wei Liao[d]

[a]Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P. O. Box 2735,
Beijing 100080, P. R. China
[b]HEP Division, Argonne National Laboratory,
9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
[c]Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104
[d]The Abdus Salam International Center for Theoretical Physics,
Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

Abstract

We study the $N = 2$ supersymmetric E_6 models on the 6-dimensional space-time where the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry can be broken by the discrete symmetry. On the space-time $M^4 \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$, for the zero modes, we obtain the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric models with gauge groups $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ with one extra pair of Higgs doublets from the vector multiplet. In addition, considering that the extra space manifold is the annulus A^2 and disc D^2, we list all the constraints on constructing the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ models for the zero modes, and give the simplest model with Z_9 symmetry. We also comment on the extra gauge symmetry breaking and its generalization.

PACS: 11.25.Mj; 11.10.Kk; 04.65.+e; 11.30.Pb

Keywords: E_6 Model; Symmetry Breaking; Extra Dimensions

December 2001

---

[1] E-mail: tli@bokchoy.hep.upenn.edu, phone: (215) 573-5820, fax: (215) 898-2010.

1 Introduction

Grand Unified Theory (GUT) gives us an simple and elegant understanding of the quantum numbers of quarks and leptons, and the success of gauge coupling unification in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model strongly supports this idea. Although the Grand Unified Theory at high energy scale has been widely accepted now, there are some problems in GUT: the grand unified gauge symmetry breaking mechanism, the doublet-triplet splitting problem, and the proton decay, etc.

Recently, a new scenario proposed to address above questions in GUT has been discussed extensively [1, 2, 3]. The key point is that the GUT gauge symmetry exists in 5 or higher dimensions and is broken down to the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric Standard Model like gauge symmetry for the zero modes due to the discrete symmetries in the neighborhoods of the branes or on the extra space manifolds, which become non-trivial constraints on the multiplets and gauge generators in GUT [3]. The attractive models have been constructed explicitly, where the supersymmetric 5-dimensional and 6-dimensional GUT models are broken down to the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^{n-3}$ model, where n is the rank of GUT group, through the compactification on various orbifolds and manifolds. The GUT gauge symmetry breaking and doublet-triplet splitting problems have been solved neatly by the discrete symmetry projections. Other interesting phenomenology, like μ problems, gauge coupling unifications, non-supersymmetric GUT, gauge-Higgs unification, proton decay, etc, have also been discussed [1, 2, 3].

All of the models [1, 2, 3] discussed previously have gauge group $SU(N)$ or $SO(N)$. So, we study the E_6 model in the present paper, which is as interesting as $SU(5)$ and $SO(10)$ GUT models. Because E_6 is a rank 6 exceptional group, in order to break the gauge symmetry and supersymmetry, we need to consider at least two extra dimensions. In addition, the 6-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric theory is chiral, where the gaugino (and gravitino) has positive chirality and the matters (hypermultiplets) have negative chirality, so, it often has anomaly unless we put the Standard Model fermions on the brane, and add one multiplet in the adjoint representation of the gauge group or some suitable matter contents in the bulk to cancel the gauge anomaly. And the 6-dimensional non-supersymmetric E_6 models and $N = 1$ supersymmetric E_6 models can be considered as special cases of $N = 2$ supersymmetric E_6 models, therefore, we only discuss the 6-dimensional $N = 2$ supersymmetric E_6 models. Moreover, because $N = 2$ 6-dimensional supersymmetric theory has 16 real supercharges, which corresponds to $N = 4$ 4-dimensional supersymmetric theory, we can not have hypermultiplets in the bulk. Therefore, we have to put the Standard Model fermions on the brane or brane intersection.

In this paper, we first review the discussions of E_6 breaking by Wilson line in our context [4]. Then, we study E_6 breaking on the space-time $M^4 \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$, where M^4 is the 4-dimensional Minkowsky space-time. For the zero modes, we obtain the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric models with gauge groups $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ with one extra pair of Higgs doublets from the vector multiplet.

In addition, considering that the extra space manifold is an annulus A^2 and a disc D^2, we can define Z_n symmetry on the extra space manifold. We list all the constraints on constructing the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ model for the zero modes, and give the simplest model with Z_9 symmetry. Furthermore, we comment on the extra gauge symmetry breaking and its generalization.

We would like to explain our convention. For simplicity, we define

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{array} \right) . \tag{1}$$

In addition, suppose G is a Lie group and H is a subgoup of G. In general, for $G = SU(N)$ and $G = SO(N)$, H can be the subgroup of $U(N)$ and $O(N)$, respectively. We denote the commutant of H in G as G/H, *i. e.*,

$$G/H \equiv \{g \in G | gh = hg, \text{ for any } h \in H\} . \tag{2}$$

And if H_1 and H_2 are the subgroups of G, we define

$$G/\{H_1 \cup H_2\} \equiv \{G/H_1\} \cap \{G/H_2\} . \tag{3}$$

2 Background of E_6 Breaking

In 1985, a lot of work has been done on E_6 breaking because the E_6 model can be obtained from the compactification of the weakly coupled heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ string theory on the Calabi-Yau manifold by spin connection embedding [4, 5]. We would like to review the discussions of E_6 breaking by Wilson line in our context [4], which will be used to discuss E_6 breaking in this paper.

Suppose the space-time manifold is $M^4 \times K$ where K is the k-dimensional extra space manifold, and we can define a discrete symmetry Γ on K. In general, Γ can be the product of discrete groups, and Γ may not act freely on K. And when it does not act freely on K, there exists a brane at each fixed point, line or hypersurface, where the Standard Model fermions can be located.

The gauge fields of E_6 are in the adjoint representation of E_6 with dimension **78**, and E_6 contains a maximal subgroup $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ where $SU(3)_C$ is color $SU(3)$, $SU(3)_L$ and $SU(3)_R$ describe the weak interactions of left-handed and right-handed quarks, respectively [6]. Under the gauge groups $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, the E_6 gauge fields decompose to $\mathbf{(8, 1, 1)}$, $\mathbf{(1, 8, 1)}$, $\mathbf{(1, 1, 8)}$, $\mathbf{(\bar{3}, 3, 3)}$, $\mathbf{(3, \bar{3}, \bar{3})}$ [6].

For simplicity, we assume that Γ is Z_n in the following discussions, where Z_n is generated by the n-th roots of unity. Since the discussions for the product of cyclic groups are similar, we do not repeat them here. Let γ be a generator of Γ, we choose the following matrix representation for γ, which will give us the representations of all the elements in Γ,

$$R_\gamma = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \otimes (\delta, \rho, \sigma) , \tag{4}$$

where $\alpha^n = \beta^n = \delta^n = \rho^n = \sigma^n = 1$. And the map $R: \ \Gamma \longrightarrow R_\Gamma \subset SU(3) \times (SU(3) \times Z_n) \times (SU(3) \times Z_n) \subset SU(3) \times U(3) \times U(3)$ must be a homomorphism.

We would like to make a remark here. If we discussed the $SU(N)$ breaking on the extra space-manifold with Z_n symmetry, we can choose the representation of Z_n in $SU(N) \times Z_n \subset U(N)$. And if we discussed the $SO(N)$ breaking on the extra space-manifold with Z_n symmetry, we can choose the representation of Z_n in $SO(N)$ if n is odd and in $SO(N) \times Z_2 \approx O(N)$ if n is even. The same rule applies for the product of cyclic groups. However, we are interested in E_6 breaking, not $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ breaking in this paper. For an arbitrarily choice of R_γ, the commutant of R_Γ in E_6 (E_6/R_Γ) might not form a group if R_γ were not a subgoup of E_6. Because we require E_6/R_Γ to be a group, we choose that $R_\Gamma \subset SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ in the following discussions, i. e., $\alpha^2\beta = \delta\rho\sigma = 1$. With the choice of $R_\Gamma \subset SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, we can embed all the generators back to those of E_6. Because R_Γ is an abelian subgroup of E_6, it is easy to prove that E_6/R_Γ also forms a subgroup of E_6 with rank 6. However, there is an exception in our discussions. For Z_2 case, in order to break E_6 down to $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, we choose $R = (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (-1,-1,-1)$ or $R = (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (-1,-1,-1) \otimes (+1,+1,+1)$.

Now, we discuss the E_6 breaking. For simplicity, we just consider E_6 gauge field $A_\mu = A_\mu^B T^B$, where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $B = 1, 2, ..., 78$. Let us denote the E_6 gauge fields in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ as $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$, where T^a is the product of three 3×3 matrices, for example, if t^b was a Lie algebra for $SU(3)_C$, the corresponding $T^b = t^b \otimes (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (+1,+1,+1)$. We also denote the E_6 gauge fields in $(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})$ and $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$ as $A_\mu = A_\mu^{\hat{a}} T^{\hat{a}}$ and $A_\mu = A_\mu^{\bar{a}} T^{\bar{a}}$, respectively, where $T^{\hat{a}}$ and $T^{\bar{a}}$ are the products of three 3×1 columns.

Because the extra space manifold has $\Gamma = Z_n$ symmetry, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$A_\mu^B(x^\mu, \gamma_i y^1, \gamma_i y^2, ..., \gamma_i y^k) T^B = (R_\gamma)^{l_A} A_\mu^B(x^\mu, y^1, y^2, ..., y^k) T^B (R_\gamma^{-1})^{m_A} \ , \qquad (5)$$

where y^i for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ are the coordinates for the extra space manifold, and (l_A, m_A) are equal to $(1,1)$, $(1,0)$, and $(0,1)$ for $B = a, \hat{a}, \bar{a}$, respectively. The E_6 gauge fields A_μ^B will have zero modes only if

$$A_\mu^B(x^\mu, \gamma_i y^1, \gamma_i y^2, ..., \gamma_i y^k) = A_\mu^B(x^\mu, y^1, y^2, ..., y^k) \ . \qquad (6)$$

The zero modes of gauge fields form the group E_6/R_Γ with rank 6.

From the phenomenological point of view [4], for the zero modes, we require that: (1) $SU(3)_L$ and $SU(3)_R$ can not be completely unbroken for otherwise their couplings would evolve at low energy to be as strong as that of $SU(3)_C$; (2) To avoid the proton decay, the unbroken subgroups do not contain $SU(5)$, $SU(6)$ and $SO(10)$. The first requirement implies that $\alpha \neq \beta$, and that δ, ρ, σ are not all equal. The second requirement implies that we can have at most one pair of color triplets.

As an example, if $\delta \neq \rho \neq \sigma$, and $\alpha\delta, \alpha\rho, \alpha\sigma, \beta\delta, \beta\rho, \beta\sigma$ are all not equal to one, for the zero modes, the gauge group is $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)^3$. And for Z_2, if we chose $R = (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (-1,-1,-1)$ or $R = (+1,+1,+1) \otimes (-1,-1,-1) \otimes (+1,+1,+1)$, we break E_6 down to $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$.

3 E_6 Breaking on $M^4 \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$

In this section, we will discuss E_6 breaking on the space time $M^4 \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$. We consider the 6-dimensional space-time which can be factorized into the product of the ordinary 4-dimensional Minkowski space-time M^4, and the torus T^2 which is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1$. The corresponding coordinates for the space-time are x^μ, $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, $y \equiv x^5$ and $z \equiv x^6$. And the radii for the circles along the y direction and z direction are R_1 and R_2, respectively. We also define y' and z' by $y' \equiv y - \pi R_1/2$ and $z' \equiv z - \pi R_2/2$. The orbifold $S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$ is obtained from $S^1 \times S^1$ by moduloing the following equivalent classes:

$$y \sim -y\ ,\ z \sim -z\ ,\ y' \sim -y'\ ,\ z' \sim -z'\ . \tag{7}$$

The cooresponding operators for the Z_2 symmetries $y \sim -y$, $z \sim -z$, $y' \sim -y'$ and $z' \sim -z'$ are P^y, P^z, $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$, respectively. Allowing a little abuse of notation, we also denote the matrix representations of P^y, P^z, $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ as P^y, P^z, $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$, as used in the literature [1, 2, 3].

Let us explain the 6-dimensional gauge theory with $N = 2$ supersymmetry. $N = 2$ supersymmetric theory in 6-dimension has 16 real supercharges, corresponding to $N = 4$ supersymmetry in 4-dimension. Therefore, only the vector multiplet can be introduced in the bulk, and the Standard Model fermions are confined on the 4-branes, 3-branes or 4-brane intersections. In terms of the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry language, the theory contains a vector multiplet $V(A_\mu, \lambda_1)$ in which λ_1 is the gaugino, and three chiral multiplets Σ_5, Σ_6, and Φ. All of them are in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. In addition, the Σ_5 and Σ_6 chiral multiplets contain the gauge fields A_5 and A_6 in their lowest components, respectively.

In the Wess-Zumino gauge and 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry language, the bulk action is [7]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^6x \Bigg\{ \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\int d^2\theta \left(\frac{1}{4kg^2} \mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha + \frac{1}{kg^2} \left(\Phi \partial_5 \Sigma_6 - \Phi \partial_6 \Sigma_5 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi [\Sigma_5, \Sigma_6] \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{H.C.} \Bigg] + \int d^4\theta \frac{1}{kg^2} \mathrm{Tr} \Bigg[\sum_{i=5}^{6} \left((\sqrt{2}\partial_i + \Sigma_i^\dagger) e^{-V} (-\sqrt{2}\partial_i + \Sigma_i) e^V + \partial_i e^{-V} \partial_i e^V \right) \\ &\quad + \Phi^\dagger e^{-V} \Phi e^V \Bigg] \Bigg\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

And the gauge transformation is given by

$$e^V \rightarrow e^\Lambda e^V e^{\Lambda^\dagger}, \tag{9}$$

$$\Sigma_i \rightarrow e^\Lambda (\Sigma_i - \sqrt{2}\partial_i) e^{-\Lambda}, \tag{10}$$

$$\Phi \rightarrow e^\Lambda \Phi e^{-\Lambda}\ , \tag{11}$$

where $i = 5, 6$.

From the action, we obtain the transformations of vector multiplet under the Z_2 operators P^y, P^z

$$V(x^\mu, -y, z) = (P^y)^{l_V} V(x^\mu, y, z)((P^y)^{-1})^{m_V} , \quad (12)$$

$$\Sigma_5(x^\mu, -y, z) = -(P^y)^{l_{\Sigma_5}} \Sigma_5(x^\mu, y, z)((P^y)^{-1})^{m_{\Sigma_5}} , \quad (13)$$

$$\Sigma_6(x^\mu, -y, z) = (P^y)^{l_{\Sigma_6}} \Sigma_6(x^\mu, y, z)((P^y)^{-1})^{m_{\Sigma_6}} , \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi(x^\mu, -y, z) = -(P^y)^{l_\Phi} \Phi(x^\mu, y, z)((P^y)^{-1})^{m_\Phi} , \quad (15)$$

$$V(x^\mu, y, -z) = (P^z)^{l_V} V(x^\mu, y, z)((P^z)^{-1})^{m_V} , \quad (16)$$

$$\Sigma_5(x^\mu, y, -z) = (P^z)^{l_{\Sigma_5}} \Sigma_5(x^\mu, y, z)((P^z)^{-1})^{m_{\Sigma_5}} , \quad (17)$$

$$\Sigma_6(x^\mu, y, -z) = -(P^z)^{l_{\Sigma_6}} \Sigma_6(x^\mu, y, z)((P^z)^{-1})^{m_{\Sigma_6}} , \quad (18)$$

$$\Phi(x^\mu, y, -z) = -(P^z)^{l_\Phi} \Phi(x^\mu, y, z)((P^z)^{-1})^{m_\Phi} , \quad (19)$$

where (l_V, m_V), $(l_{\Sigma_5}, m_{\Sigma_5})$, $(l_{\Sigma_6}, m_{\Sigma_6})$, and (l_Φ, m_Φ) are equal to $(1, 1)$ if the gauge fields were in the representations $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$, $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$, $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8})$, and (l_V, m_V), $(l_{\Sigma_5}, m_{\Sigma_5})$, $(l_{\Sigma_6}, m_{\Sigma_6})$, and (l_Φ, m_Φ) are equal to $(1, 0)$ if the gauge fields were in the representation $(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})$, and (l_V, m_V), $(l_{\Sigma_5}, m_{\Sigma_5})$, $(l_{\Sigma_6}, m_{\Sigma_6})$, and (l_Φ, m_Φ) are equal to $(0, 1)$ if the gauge fields were in the representation $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$. Moreover, the transformations of vector multiplet under the Z_2 operators $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ are similar.

In the following models, for the zero modes, we will break the 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetry down to $N = 1$ supersymmetry, and break the E_6 gauge group down to $E_6/\{P^y \cup P^z \cup P^{y'} \cup P^{z'}\}$. Including the KK modes, the intersection 3-branes and boundary 4-branes preserve the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetry, respectively. The general 4-dimensional supersymmetry and gauge groups on the intersection 3-branes and boundary 4-branes are given in the Table 1. The KK mode expansions and the detail of this set-up can be found in Ref. [2].

3.1 Models without the Zero Modes of Σ_5, Σ_6 and Φ

We will first discuss the models without the zero modes of Σ_5, Σ_6 and Φ. In order to project out all the zero modes of Σ_5, Σ_6 and Φ, we choose the matrix representations of P^z and P^z as product of three 3×3 unit matrices

$$P^y = P^z = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) . \quad (20)$$

Table 1: For E_6 models on $S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$, the number of 4-dimensional supersymmetry and gauge groups on the 3-branes, which are located at the fixed points $(y = 0, z = 0)$, $(y = 0, z = \pi R_2/2)$, $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = 0)$, and $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = \pi R_2/2)$, or on the 4-branes which are located at the fixed lines $y = 0$, $z = 0$, $y = \pi R_1/2$, $z = \pi R_2/2$.

Brane Position	SUSY	Gauge Symmetry
$(0, 0)$	$N = 1$	$G/\{P^y \cup P^z\}$
$(0, \pi R_2/2)$	$N = 1$	$G/\{P^y \cup P^{z'}\}$
$(\pi R_1/2, 0)$	$N = 1$	$G/\{P^{y'} \cup P^z\}$
$(\pi R_1/2, \pi R_2/2)$	$N = 1$	$G/\{P^{y'} \cup P^{z'}\}$
$y = 0$	$N = 2$	G/P^y
$z = 0$	$N = 2$	G/P^z
$y = \pi R_1/2$	$N = 2$	$G/P^{y'}$
$z = \pi R_2/2$	$N = 2$	$G/P^{z'}$

So, considering the zero modes, under P^y projection, we can break the 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetry down to the $N = 2$ supersymmetry with (V, Σ_6) forming a vector multiplet and (Σ_5, Φ) forming a hypermultiplet, and we can break the 4-dimensional $N = 2$ supersymmetry down to the $N = 1$ supersymmetry further by the P^z projection.

We define 5 matrices which will be used in the following discusssions

$$A = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \ , \tag{21}$$

$$B = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \ , \tag{22}$$

$$C = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) \ , \tag{23}$$

$$D = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, -1) \ , \tag{24}$$

$$E = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, -1) \otimes (+1, +1, +1) \ . \tag{25}$$

Because A, B, C, D, E are order 2 elements and the unit element (or indentity) e commutes with all the elements in the group, we define $E_6/A \equiv E_6/\{e, A\}$ for simplicity and similarly for the others. As an example, we will explain how to obtain E_6/A. As we know, E_6 has three maximal subgroups with rank 6: $SO(10) \times U(1)$, $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$ [6]. Because A is an order 2 subgroup of $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$, E_6/A forms a maximal subgroup and must be one of the three E_6 maximal subgroups with rank 6. E_6/A has 46 gauge generators by simple counting, therefore, we obtain that E_6/A is $SO(10)\times U(1)$. Similarly, we can calculate the other commutants. In short, we have

$$E_6/A \approx SO(10) \times U(1) \ , \tag{26}$$

$$E_6/B \approx E_6/C \approx SU(6) \times SU(2) \ , \tag{27}$$

$$E_6/D \approx E_6/E \approx SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3) \ , \tag{28}$$

$$E_6/\{A\cup B\} \approx E_6/\{A\cup C\} \approx E_6/\{B\cup C\} \approx SU(4)\times SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1) \ , \tag{29}$$

$$E_6/\{A \cup D\} \approx E_6/\{A \cup E\} \approx SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2 \ . \tag{30}$$

Model I. We choose the matrix representations for $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ as

$$P^{y'} = A \ , \ P^{z'} = B \ . \tag{31}$$

For the zero modes, the bulk 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric E_6 model is broken down to the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model. Including the KK modes, the gauge groups on the intersection 3-branes at $(y = 0, z = 0)$, $(y = 0, z = \pi R_2/2)$, $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = 0)$ and $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = \pi R_2/2)$ are E_6, $SU(6) \times SU(2)$, $SO(10) \times U(1)$ and $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, respectively. And the gauge groups on the 4-branes at $y = 0$, $z = 0$, $y = \pi R_1/2$ and $z = \pi R_2/2$ are E_6, E_6, $SO(10) \times U(1)$ and $SU(6) \times SU(2)$, respectively. Similarly, one can discuss the model by choosing $P^{y'} = A$ and $P^{z'} = C$.

Model II. We choose the matrix representations for $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ as

$$P^{y'} = B \ , \ P^{z'} = C \ . \tag{32}$$

For the zero modes, the bulk 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric E_6 model is broken down to the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model. Including the KK modes, the gauge groups on the intersection 3-branes at $(y = 0, z = 0)$, $(y = 0, z = \pi R_2/2)$, $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = 0)$ and $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = \pi R_2/2)$ are E_6, $SU(6) \times SU(2)$, $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, respectively. And the gauge groups on the 4-branes at $y = 0$, $z = 0$, $y = \pi R_1/2$ and $z = \pi R_2/2$ are E_6, E_6, $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(6) \times SU(2)$, respectively.

Model III. We choose the matrix representations for $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ as

$$P^{y'} = A \ , \ P^{z'} = D \ . \tag{33}$$

For the zero modes, the bulk 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric E_6 model is broken down to the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$ model. Including the KK modes, the gauge groups on the intersection 3-branes at $(y = 0, z = 0)$, $(y = 0, z = \pi R_2/2)$, $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = 0)$ and $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = \pi R_2/2)$ are E_6, $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$, $SO(10) \times U(1)$ and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, respectively. And the gauge groups on the 4-branes at $y = 0$, $z = 0$, $y = \pi R_1/2$ and $z = \pi R_2/2$ are E_6, E_6, $SO(10) \times U(1)$ and $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$, respectively. Similarly, one can discuss the model by choosing $P^{y'} = A$ and $P^{z'} = E$.

3.2 Model with Gauge-Higgs Unification

In this subsection, we will present the model with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry and one pair of $SU(2)_L$ Higgs doublets from Φ. We choose the matrix representations for P^y, P^z, $P^{y'}$ and $P^{z'}$ as

$$P^y = P^z = A = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \ , \tag{34}$$

$$P^{y'} = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \otimes (-1, +1, -1) \ , \tag{35}$$

$$P^{z'} = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (-1, -1, +1) \otimes (+1, -1, -1) \ . \tag{36}$$

And we would like to point out the commutant groups

$$E_6/A \approx E_6/P^{y'} \approx E_6/P^{z'} \approx SO(10) \times U(1) \ , \tag{37}$$

$$E_6/\{A \cup P^{y'}\} \approx E_6/\{A \cup P^{z'}\} \approx E_6/\{P^{y'} \cup P^{z'}\} \approx SU(5) \times U(1)^2 \ , \tag{38}$$

$$E_6/\{A \cup P^{y'} \cup P^{z'}\} \approx SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3 \ . \tag{39}$$

We project out all the zero modes of Σ_5 and Σ_6 by choosing $P^y = P^z$. And we project out all the zero modes of Φ except one pair of $SU(2)_L$ doublets, which can be viewed as one pair of Higgs doublets. Considering the zero modes, the bulk 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric E_6 model is broken down to the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ model. Including the KK modes, the gauge groups on the intersection 3-branes at $(y = 0, z = 0)$, $(y = 0, z = \pi R_2/2)$, $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = 0)$ and $(y = \pi R_1/2, z = \pi R_2/2)$ are $SO(10) \times U(1)$, $SU(5) \times U(1)^2$, $SU(5) \times U(1)^2$, and $SU(5) \times U(1)^2$, respectively. In addition, the gauge groups on the 4-branes at $y = 0$, $z = 0$, $y = \pi R_1/2$ and $z = \pi R_2/2$ are all $SO(10) \times U(1)$.

4 E_6 Breaking on $M^4 \times A^2$ and $M^4 \times D^2$

In this section, we would like to discuss E_6 breaking on the space-time $M^4 \times A^2$ and $M^4 \times D^2$, where A^2 and D^2 are the two dimensional annulus and disc, repectively. And we only show the models with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry and 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry for the zero modes. Similarly, one can discuss the models with gauge groups $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, or $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, or $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ and 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry for the zero modes.

The convenient coordinates for the annulus A^2 is polar coordinates (r, θ), and it is easy to change them to the complex coordinates by $z = re^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$. We call the innner radius of the annulus as R_1, and the outer radius of the annulus as R_2. When $R_1 = 0$, the annulus becomes the disc D^2, which is an special case of A^2. We can define the Z_n symmetry on the annulus A^2 by the equivalent class

$$z \sim \omega z \ , \tag{40}$$

where $\omega = e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{n}}$. And we denote the corresponding generator for Z_n as Ω which satifies $\Omega^n = 1$. The KK mode expansions and the detail of this set-up can be found in Ref. [3].

The $N = 2$ supersymmetry in 6-dimension corresponds to the $N = 4$ supersymmetry in 4-dimension, thus, only the gauge multiplet can be introduced in the bulk. This multiplet can be decomposed under the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry into a vector multiplet V and three chiral multiplets Σ, Φ, and Φ^c in the adjoint representation, with the fifth and sixth components of the gauge field, A_5 and A_6, contained in the lowest component of Σ. The Standard Model fermions are on the boundary 4-brane at $r = R_1$ or $r = R_2$ for the annulus A^2 scenario, and on the 3-brane at origin or on the boundary 4-brane at $r = R_2$ for the disc D^2 scenario.

In the Wess-Zumino gauge and 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry language, the bulk action is [7]

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=\; & \int d^6x \Big\{ \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\int d^2\theta \left(\frac{1}{4kg^2} \mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha + \frac{1}{kg^2} \left(\Phi^c \partial \Phi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma[\Phi, \Phi^c] \right) \right) + \mathrm{h.c.} \Big] \\ & + \int d^4\theta \frac{1}{kg^2} \mathrm{Tr}\Big[(\sqrt{2}\partial^\dagger + \Sigma^\dagger) e^{-V} (-\sqrt{2}\partial + \Sigma) e^V \Big] \\ & + \int d^4\theta \frac{1}{kg^2} \mathrm{Tr}\Big[+\Phi^\dagger e^{-V} \Phi e^V + \Phi^{c\dagger} e^{-V} \Phi^c e^V \Big] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

From above action, we obtain the transformations of gauge multiplet under Ω as

$$V(\omega z, \omega^{n-1}\bar{z}) \;=\; (R_\Omega)^{l_V} V(z, \bar{z}) (R_\Omega^{-1})^{m_V} \;, \tag{42}$$

$$\Sigma(\omega z, \omega^{n-1}\bar{z}) \;=\; \omega^{n-1} (R_\Omega)^{l_\Sigma} \Sigma(z, \bar{z}) (R_\Omega^{-1})^{m_\Sigma} \;, \tag{43}$$

$$\Phi(\omega z, \omega^{n-1}\bar{z}) \;=\; \omega^{n-1} (R_\Omega)^{l_\Phi} \Phi(z, \bar{z}) (R_\Omega^{-1})^{m_\Phi} \;, \tag{44}$$

$$\Phi^c(\omega z, \omega^{n-1}\bar{z}) \;=\; \omega^{2} (R_\Omega)^{l_{\Phi^c}} \Phi^c(z, \bar{z}) (R_\Omega^{-1})^{m_{\Phi^c}} \;, \tag{45}$$

where (l_V, m_V), (l_Σ, m_Σ), (l_Φ, m_Φ), and (l_{Φ^c}, m_{Φ^c}) are equal to $(1, 1)$ if the gauge fields were in the representations $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8})$, and (l_V, m_V), (l_Σ, m_Σ), (l_Φ, m_Φ), and (l_{Φ^c}, m_{Φ^c}) are equal to $(1, 0)$ if the gauge fields were in the representation $(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})$, and (l_V, m_V), (l_Σ, m_Σ), (l_Φ, m_Φ), and (l_{Φ^c}, m_{Φ^c}) are equal to $(0, 1)$ if the gauge fields were in the representation $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$.

Moreover, we choose the following matrix representation for R_Ω

$$R_\Omega = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (\omega^{n_1}, \omega^{n_1}, \omega^{n_2}) \otimes (\omega^{n_3}, \omega^{n_4}, \omega^{n_5}) \;. \tag{46}$$

In order to have the models with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry and 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry for the zero modes, we obtain the following constraints on n_i

$$\text{(a) } 2n_1 + n_2 = 0 \text{ mod } n \ , \tag{47}$$

$$\text{(b) } n_3 + n_4 + n_5 = 0 \text{ mod } n \ , \tag{48}$$

$$\text{(c) } n_1 \neq n_2 \text{ mod } n \ , \tag{49}$$

$$\text{(d) } n_3 \neq n_4 \neq n_5 \text{ mod } n \ , \tag{50}$$

$$\text{(e) } |n_1 - n_2| \neq 1 \text{ and } n-2 \text{ mod } n \ , \tag{51}$$

$$\text{(f) } |n_i - n_j| \neq 1 \text{ and } n-2 \text{ mod } n, \text{ for } i, j = 3, 4, 5 \text{ and } i \neq j \ , \tag{52}$$

$$\text{(g) } |n_i + n_j| \neq 0, 1 \text{ and } n-2 \text{ mod } n, \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 3, 4, 5 \ . \tag{53}$$

Because $R_\Omega \subset SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, we obtain the constraints (a) and (b). And the constraints (c) and (d) will break the $SU(3)_L$ down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ and $SU(3)_R$ down to $U(1)^2$, respectively. In addition, the constraints (e) and (f) will project out all the zero modes of Σ, Φ and Φ^c in the representations $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8})$, and the constraint (g) will project out all the zero modes of V, Σ, Φ and Φ^c in the representations $(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})$ and $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$.

Let us give the simplest model with Z_9 symmetry, the matrix representation for R_Ω is

$$R_\Omega = (+1, +1, +1) \otimes (\omega^2, \omega^2, \omega^5) \otimes (+1, \omega^3, \omega^6) \ . \tag{54}$$

It is easy to check that all the constraints are satisfied.

First, we consider that the extra space manifold is the annulus A^2. For the zero modes, we have 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry in the bulk and on the 4-branes at $r = R_1$ and $r = R_2$. Including the KK states, we will have the 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetry and E_6 gauge symmetry in the bulk, and on the 4-branes at $r = R_1$ and $r = R_2$.

Second, we consider that the extra space manifold is the disc D^2. For the zero modes, we have 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry in the bulk and on the 4-brane at $r = R_2$. Including all the KK states, we will have the 4-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetry and E_6 gauge symmetry in the bulk, and on the 4-brane at $r = R_2$. In addition, because the origin ($r = 0$) is the fixed point under the Z_9 symmetry, we always have the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetry and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ gauge symmetry on the 3-brane at origin in which only the zero modes exist. And if we put the Standard Model fermions on the 3-brane at origin, the extra dimensions can be large and the gauge hierarchy problem can be solved for there does not exist the proton decay problem at all.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

The extra gauge symmetry must be broken around or above the TeV scale. This can be done by Higgs mechanism, for example, the $SU(4)$ can be broken down to $SU(3)_C$ and $SU(2)_R$ can be broken by introducing the Higgs fields in their fundamental representations, and the extra $U(1)$ can be broken by introducing the Standard Model singlets which are charged under the extra $U(1)$. The right-handed neutrinos might also become massive during the extra gauge symmetry breaking. And with the ansatz that there exist discrete symmetries in the neighborhoods of the branes, one can discuss the general E_6 breaking on the space-time $M^4 \times M^1 \times M^1$, where the extra dimensions can be large and the KK states can be set arbitrarily heavy [3]. On the outlook, the gauge coupling unification, supersymmetry breaking, the μ problem, how to forbid the proton decay operators by R symmetry, and how to explain the fermion mass hierarchy and mixing angles in our models deserve further study.

In short, we have studied the $N = 2$ supersymmetric E_6 models on the 6-dimensional space-time where the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry can be broken by the discrete symmetry. On the space-time $M^4 \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2') \times S^1/(Z_2 \times Z_2')$, for the zero modes, we obtain the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric models with gauge groups $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$, $SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ with one extra pair of Higgs doublets from the vector multiplet. In addition, considering that the extra space manifold is the annulus A^2 and disc D^2, we list all the constraints on constructing the 4-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ models for the zero modes, and give the simplest model with Z_9 symmetry.

Acknowledgments

T. Li would like to thank Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P. R. China for hospitality. This work was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China and by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DOE-EY-76-02-3071 and W-31-109-ENG-38.

References

[1] Y. Kawamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 613 hep-ph/9902423, hep-ph/0012125, hep-ph/0012352; G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, hep-ph/0102301; L. Hall and Y. Nomura, hep-ph/0103125; T. Kawamoto and Y. Kawamura, hep-ph/0106163; A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, hep-ph/0106166, hep-ph/0107039; R. Barbieri, L. Hall and Y. Nomura, hep-ph/0106190, hep-th/0107004; A. B. Kobakhidze, hep-ph/0102323; T. Li, hep-th/0107136, hep-ph/0108238; J. A. Bagger, F. Feruglio and F. Zwirner, hep-th/0107128; A. Masiero, C. A. Scrucca, M. Serone and L. Silvestrini, hep-ph/0107201; L. Hall, H. Murayama and Y. Nomura, hep-th/0107245; C. Csaki, G. D. Kribs and J. Terning, hep-ph/0107266; L. Hall, Y. Nomura and D. Smith, hep-ph/0107331; N. Maru, hep-ph/0108002; T. Asaka, W. Buchmuller and L. Covi, hep-ph/0108021; L. Hall, Y. Nomura, T. Okui and D. Smith, hep-ph/0108071; N. Haba, T. Kondo, Y. Shimizu, T. Suzuki and K. Ukai, hep-ph/0108003; L. Hall, J. March-Russell, T. Okui and D. Smith, hep-ph/0108161; R. Dermisek and A. Mafi, hep-ph/0108139; T. Watari and T. Yanagida, hep-ph/0108152; Y. Nomura, hep-ph/0108170; Q. Shafi and Z. Tavartkiladze, hep-ph/0108247; L. Hall, J. March-Russell, T. Okui and D. Smith, hep-ph/0108161; R. Barbieri, L. Hall and Y. Nomura, hep-ph/0110102; L. Hall and Y. Nomura, hep-ph/0111068; M. Kubo, C. S. Lim, H. Yamashita, hep-ph/0111327; G. Bhattacharyya and K. Sridhar, hep-ph/0111345.

[2] T. Li, hep-ph/0108120.

[3] T. Li, hep-th/0110065.

[4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B**258** (1985) 75; J. D. Breit, B. A. Ovrut and G. C. Segre, Phys. Lett. B**158** (1985) 33.

[5] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B**155** (1985) 151; A. Sen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 33; S. Cecotti, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and M. Roncadelli, Phys. Lett. B**156** (1985) 318; M. Dine, V. Kaplunovsky, M. Mangano, C. Nappi and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B**259** (1985) 549; P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B**258** (1985) 46; L. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten Nucl. Phys. B**261** (1985) 678; Nucl. Phys. B**274** (1986) 285.

[6] R. Slansky, Phys. Rept. **79** (1981) 1.

[7] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. Gregoire and J. Wacker, hep-th/0101233.